

Application Status and Trend Analysis of Artificial Intelligence in Elderly Care Education

Li Feng*

School of Public Health and Nursing, Xianning Medical College, Hubei University of Science and Technology, Xianning 437100, P. R. China

*Corresponding author: Li Feng, fengli@hbust.edu.cn.

Abstract: The accelerating demographic shift toward an aging global population has precipitated a critical demand for healthcare professionals equipped with specialized geriatric competencies. However, traditional nursing curricula often fail to adequately address the complexity of elderly care or mitigate the prevalence of “gerontophobia” among students. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative pedagogical force, offering novel solutions to these educational gaps. This review synthesizes recent peer-reviewed literature to analyze the current application status and emerging trends of AI in elderly care education. The analysis categorizes AI applications into three primary domains: immersive technologies (Virtual Reality/Augmented Reality) for empathy and dementia care training; Generative AI (GenAI) and Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) for adaptive clinical reasoning; and Socially Assistive Robots (SARs) for behavioral modeling and student readiness. Current evidence indicates that while Virtual Reality (VR) excels in fostering “embodied” empathy and altering attitudes toward dementia, Generative AI demonstrates superior efficiency in developing initial clinical competence and diagnostic reasoning through dynamic, text-based simulation. Furthermore, the integration of robotics into training has been shown to significantly reduce aging anxiety, although a gap remains between the perceived and actual utility of robots in clinical workflows. Emerging trends point toward a “high-tech, high-touch” hybrid curriculum, the standardization of AI ethics in nursing, and the necessity of addressing the “digital divide” in faculty competence. This review concludes that the future of geriatric education relies on the seamless integration of multimodal AI technologies that not only enhance technical proficiency but also rigorously preserve the humanistic core of nursing practice.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Geriatric Education; Virtual Reality; Nursing Curriculum

0. Introduction

The global healthcare landscape is currently undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid population aging. By 2050, the proportion of individuals aged 60 and older is projected to double, a demographic shift that presents acute challenges for health systems worldwide.^[1] This “silver tsunami” requires a nursing workforce that is not only numerically sufficient but also possesses advanced competencies in managing geriatric syndromes, multimorbidity, and the psychosocial complexities of aging. However, nursing education has historically struggled to keep pace with these demands. Clinical placements in geriatric settings are often viewed as less prestigious than acute care, and students frequently harbor negative stereotypes about older adults, a phenomenon known as “gerontophobia,” which correlates with a reluctance to specialize in the field.^[2]

In response to these challenges, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transitioned from a theoretical concept to a practical imperative in nursing education. AI in this context encompasses a spectrum of technologies, including machine learning algorithms, natural language processing (NLP), robotics, and immersive virtual environments.^[3] These tools offer the potential to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and clinical application by providing safe, scalable, and personalized learning experiences. For instance, AI-driven adaptive learning platforms can tailor complex physiological content to individual learning speeds, while VR simulations allow students to “inhabit” the lived experience of a patient with dementia, fostering a depth of empathy that

textbooks cannot convey.^[4]

Despite the proliferation of pilot studies and technological trials, the integration of AI into standard nursing curricula remains fragmented. Educators face the dual challenge of navigating a rapidly evolving technological landscape while ensuring pedagogical rigor. This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the status quo, evaluating the efficacy of distinct AI modalities—specifically Immersive Technologies, Generative AI, and Robotics—and identifying the trajectory of their future development. By synthesizing data from peer-reviewed journals, this article seeks to offer an evidence-based roadmap for the effective adoption of AI in elderly care education.

1. Application Status of Immersive Technologies: Virtual Reality

The application of Virtual Reality (VR) represents one of the most mature domains of AI integration in geriatric education, particularly in the sub-field of dementia care. Unlike traditional pedagogical methods that rely on passive observation, VR facilitates “embodied learning,” a mechanism that enables students to experience the cognitive and perceptual deficits associated with aging from a first-person perspective.^[5]

1.1. Enhancing Empathy and Dementia Awareness

Recent scholarship highlights the unique capacity of VR to dismantle the “empathy gap” often observed in students interacting with patients exhibiting behavioral and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD). By simulating visual disturbances, auditory hallucinations, and spatial disorientation, VR platforms compel learners to navigate the world as a person living with dementia (PLWD). A study evaluating a specialized VR-based educational program demonstrated significant improvements in nursing staff attitudes. The intervention, which utilized 360-degree video to contrast “undesirable” and “desirable” care scenarios, resulted in statistically significant increases in empathy scores ($p = 0.01$) and awareness of multidisciplinary collaboration ($p = 0.005$).^[6]

These outcomes suggest that the immersive nature of VR triggers a visceral emotional response that facilitates “perspective-taking.” This is crucial in geriatrics, where the patient’s inability to communicate effectively can often lead to caregiver frustration. By experiencing the “speech lock” or confusion of a patient firsthand, students are better equipped to understand the rationale behind challenging behaviors, thereby reducing stigma and promoting person-centered care.^[7]

1.2. Implementation Barriers in Educational Settings

Despite its efficacy in the affective domain, the widespread adoption of VR is hindered by significant physiological and logistical barriers. A scoping review of VR in dementia training identified “simulation sickness” as a pervasive issue, particularly among older staff members or those with existing visual impairments. The physical discomfort associated with head-mounted displays (HMDs) can detract from the learning objectives, with some studies reporting high attrition rates due to dizziness or nausea.^[5]

Furthermore, technical limitations regarding the realism and interactivity of current VR software often disrupt the feeling of immersion. The “uncanny valley” effect—where imperfect digital avatars elicit discomfort—can break the suspension of disbelief required for deep emotional engagement. From an organizational perspective, the high cost of hardware and the requirement for dedicated physical space present substantial hurdles for resource-constrained nursing programs. Consequently, while VR is recognized as a potent tool for “humanizing” geriatric care, its utility is currently maximized when used as a supplemental module for empathy training rather than a replacement for clinical practice.^[5]

2. Application Status of Generative AI and Intelligent Tutoring Systems

The advent of Generative AI (GenAI) and Large Language Models (LLMs) has introduced a new frontier in elderly care education: the widespread accessibility of high-fidelity clinical simulation. Unlike VR, which focuses on sensory immersion, GenAI targets the cognitive domain, offering dynamic, text-based simulations that adapt to the learner’s proficiency in real-time.

2.1. Cognitive Scaffolding and Clinical Competence

GenAI platforms allow for the creation of infinite, variable patient scenarios, addressing the “content bottleneck” that plagues traditional simulation centers. Educators can now generate robust geriatric case studies that encompass complex comorbidities, polypharmacy, and social determinants of health with high efficiency.^[8] More importantly, GenAI functions as an interactive patient, capable of holding naturalistic conversations with students.

A randomized controlled trial comparing GenAI patient simulation with immersive 360-degree VR yielded pivotal insights into their comparative strengths. The study found that while both modalities improved cultural awareness, the GenAI intervention led to significantly faster and larger initial gains in clinical competence scores (Difference of 16.59, $p = 0.020$).^[9] The researchers attributed this to the “adaptive” nature of GenAI; the system could provide immediate, personalized feedback on the student’s history-taking and diagnostic reasoning, effectively functioning as an Intelligent Tutoring System (ITS). This rapid feedback loop allows students to engage in “deliberate practice,” refining their clinical judgment through iterative attempts.⁸

2.2. AI-Driven Assessment and Feedback

Beyond simulation, AI is revolutionizing the assessment of clinical skills. The “AI-assisted Mini-CEX” (Mini-Clinical Evaluation Exercise) utilizes computer vision and natural language processing to analyze video recordings of student-patient interactions. This system provides objective, automated metrics on procedural adherence and communication efficiency, generating structured feedback reports that instructors use to guide debriefing sessions.^[10] This technology addresses the subjectivity inherent in human observation and allows for a more standardized evaluation of student performance across different clinical sites. Table 1 illustrates the comparative advantages and primary applications of the dominant AI modalities currently used in geriatric education.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of AI Modalities in Elderly Care Education

Modality	Primary Learning Domain	Key Educational Outcome	Major Limitation
Virtual Reality (VR)	Affective (Empathy, Attitude)	Significant improvement in empathy scores and attitude toward dementia. ^[6]	Simulation sickness; high hardware costs; limited long-term retention data. ^[5]
Generative AI (GenAI)	Cognitive (Clinical Reasoning)	Rapid acquisition of clinical competence; efficient case generation. ^[9]	Risk of “hallucination” (inaccurate medical data); lack of non-verbal cues. ^[9]
Socially Assistive Robots	Behavioral (Reading, Interaction)	Reduction in gerontophobia; increased safety confidence (65% to 100%). ^[11]	“Reality gap” where perceived utility decreases after actual use. ^[11]

3. Application Status of Robotics: Bridging Perception and Practice

Socially Assistive Robots (SARs) such as TEMI, Paro, and Pepper represent the physical interface of AI in aged care. Their integration into nursing education serves a dual function: preparing the workforce for a technology-rich clinical environment and modifying deep-seated attitudes toward aging.

3.1. Mitigating Gerontophobia

Research indicates that exposure to advanced robotics can fundamentally alter the “gerontophobia” that discourages students from entering the field. A study combining AI-generated aging simulations with robotic interaction found that students experienced a significant reduction in anxiety regarding their own aging and a decrease in negative stereotypes toward older adults.^[2] By framing geriatric care as a technologically advanced field involving sophisticated human-robot collaboration, educators can counter the narrative that aged care is “low-tech” or stagnant.

Data from clinical pilot studies support this attitudinal shift. In a deployment of the TEMI robot, healthcare workers’ confidence in the safety of the technology rose from 65% pre-intervention to 100% post-intervention.^[11] This suggests that “technophobia” among nursing staff is often a product of unfamiliarity rather than inherent resistance.

3.2. The Utility Gap

However, a distinct “utility gap” exists in the current application of robotics. While students and staff often report high levels of enjoyment during robotic interactions, their belief in the robot’s ability to simplify actual nursing work often decreases after hands-on experience.^[11] Participants in various studies have noted that while robots are effective for auxiliary tasks—such as delivering items or providing entertainment—they lack the “human touch” and intuitive judgment required for complex geriatric care. This finding underscores the need for educational curricula to emphasize “human-robot collaboration” rather than replacement. Students must be trained to identify which tasks are appropriate for automation (e.g., monitoring vitals, logistics) and which require human intervention (e.g., emotional support, complex symptom management).^[12]

4. Trends and Future Directions

As AI technologies mature, the focus of research and implementation is shifting from simple adoption to nuanced integration and ethical governance.

4.1 From Technology-Centric to Pedagogy-Centric

The initial phase of AI in nursing education was characterized by a “technology-push” approach, where tools were adopted primarily for their novelty. The emerging trend is a shift toward “pedagogy-pull” models, where AI is integrated specifically to address defined learning outcomes. The future lies in multimodal integration, dissolving the silos between VR, GenAI, and Robotics. For example, emerging frameworks envision scenarios where a student interviews a GenAI-powered avatar within a VR environment, with an ITS analyzing the interaction in real-time to adjust the scenario’s difficulty based on the student’s stress levels.^[9]

4.2 Addressing the Digital Divide and Cultural Influence

A critical trend in the global adoption of AI is the recognition of cultural and systemic disparities. A cross-national study of nurse educators in Saudi Arabia, the Philippines, India, and Egypt revealed significant differences in the perceived risks of AI, influenced heavily by local culture and exposure levels.^[13] Educators in regions with lower AI exposure reported higher levels of anxiety regarding “cyberinvasion” and data privacy. This highlights the urgent need for culturally attuned educational strategies that address local concerns and infrastructure limitations, ensuring that the benefits of AI in geriatric education are not confined to high-resource settings.

4.3. Ethical Competence and Bias Mitigation

As AI becomes embedded in the curriculum, “AI ethics” is becoming a core competency for geriatric nurses. GenAI models trained on general datasets often underrepresent the unique physiological and social presentations of the elderly, potentially leading to algorithmic bias.

^[14] Trends indicate a growing emphasis on teaching students to critically evaluate AI outputs for

ageism and bias. The goal is to cultivate a workforce that uses AI as a “second opinion” rather than an infallible authority, maintaining the nurse’s role as the final arbiter of patient safety and ethical care.^[15]

5. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into elderly care education marks a pivotal evolution in the training of the health workforce. The evidence synthesized in this review demonstrates that AI is not merely a futuristic concept but a present reality with measurable impacts. Virtual Reality serves as a potent engine for empathy, allowing students to bridge the emotional distance between themselves and patients with dementia. Generative AI offers a scalable, adaptive solution for developing rigorous clinical reasoning skills, while Socially Assistive Robots provide a platform for reducing gerontophobia and modernizing the image of geriatric nursing.

However, the trajectory of this integration is not without challenges. The “reality gap” in robotics, the physiological limitations of VR, and the risk of algorithmic bias in GenAI require a measured and critical approach to implementation. Future trends point decisively toward hybrid learning models that leverage the efficiency of AI without compromising the humanistic values of nursing. Ultimately, the success of AI in this field will be measured not by the sophistication of the technology, but by its ability to produce a generation of nurses who are more competent, more compassionate, and better prepared to care for an aging world.

Acknowledgment:

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this work from the Teaching Research Project of Hubei University of Science and Technology (No. 2023XY053).

References:

- [1]C. Tana, C. Siniscalchi, N. Cerundolo, T. Meschi, P. Martelletti, M. Tana, L. Moffa, W. Wells–Gatnik, F. Cipollone and M. A. Giamberardino, Smart aging: integrating AI into elderly healthcare, *BMC geriatrics*, 2025, 25, 1024.
- [2]F. M. Ibrahim, G. Shahrour and S. Dukhaykh, Effect of experiential learning based AI-generated aging video simulation on knowledge, attitude and gerontophobia in nursing students, *BMC nursing*, 2025, 25, 63.
- [3]S. Shishehgar, P. Murray–Parahi, E. Alsharaydeh, S. Mills and X. Liu, Artificial Intelligence in Health Education and Practice: A Systematic Review of Health Students’ and Academics’ Knowledge, Perceptions and Experiences, *International nursing review*, 2025, 72, e70045.
- [4]X. Peng, L. Wu, X. Xie, M. Dai and D. Wang, Impact of Virtual Dementia Tour on empathy level of nursing students: A quasi-experimental study, *International journal of nursing sciences*, 2020, 7, 258–261.
- [5]L. Hung, C. H. K. Ma, C. Y. Huang, J. Wong, K. L. Y. Wong, K. H. Chew, Y. C. Chao, A. Hussein, K. Yuen, L. H. Ren and Y. Zhao, Facilitators, barriers, and impacts to implementing dementia care training for staff in long-term care settings by using fully immersive virtual reality: a scoping review, *Front. Virtual Real.*, 2025, 6. DOI: 10.3389/frvir.2025.1552370.
- [6]J. Wu, A. Igarashi, M. Takaoka, H. Kugai, H. Matsumoto and N. Yamamoto–Mitani, Effects of a Virtual Reality–Based Dementia Educational Program on Healthcare Staff in Geriatric Dementia Wards: A Pre–Post Comparative Study, *Psychogeriatrics : the official journal of the Japanese Psychogeriatric Society*, 2025, 25, e70099.
- [7]L. Brett and A. Kwok, Virtual Reality–Based Training Has Potential to Improve Dementia Knowledge, Attitudes, and Sense of Competence of Physical Therapists and Occupational

Therapists, *Physical & Occupational Therapy In Geriatrics*, 2025, 43, 95–107.

[8]N. Ruggiano, S. Sahoo, A. Brashear, U. Nwatu, A. Brunson, H. Noh, H. Cole, R. McKinney, C. V. Framil Suarez, E. L. Brown and S. Prevost, Evaluating AI–Generated Geriatric Case Studies for Interprofessional Education: Systematic Analysis Across 5 Platforms, *JMIR medical education*, 2026, 12, e83085.

[9]T. C. J. Fung, S. L. Chan, C. F. M. Lam, C. Y. Lam, C. C. W. Cheng, M. H. Lai, C. C. J. Ho, S. L. Au, L. Y. Mak, S. Hu, S. Phetrasuwan, J. Granger, J. M. Yoon, G. Malik, C. C. Moreno, M. H. P. Kwok and C.–C. Lin, Effects of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) patient simulation on perceived clinical competency among global nursing undergraduates: a cross–over randomised controlled trial, *BMC nursing*, 2025, 24, 934.

[10]X.–J. Wang, L.–J. Song, X.–P. Jiao and S.–Q. Chen, Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Clinical Education: Enhancing the Mini–CEX Model, *Journal of multidisciplinary healthcare*, 2025, 18, 7327–7337.

[11]J. Leoste, K. Lubi, K. Marmor and K. Kangur, Evaluating Social Assistive Robots in Clinical Nursing Care: Mixed Method Pilot Study on Health Care Workers’ Perceptions and Adoption, *JMIR nursing*, 2025, 8, e70305.

[12]I. Y. Zhao, A. Y. M. Leung, Y. Huang and Y. Liu, A Social Robot in Home Care: Acceptability and Utility Among Community–Dwelling Older Adults, *Innovation in aging*, 2025, 9, igaf019.

[13]M. Almalki, L. Sacgaca, P. Pangket, E. Pasay–An, S. H. Deep, G. Maskay, L. S. Benjamin, S. M. A. Hashim, A. Gonzales, R. Rosal, M. Mohsen, R. Mostoles and G. A. L. Lagura, Integration of artificial intelligence in nursing education: a cross–national exploration, *BMC nursing*, 2025, 24, 1026.

[14]L. Stokes, ANA Position Statement: The Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Practice, *Online J Issues Nurs*, 2025, 30. DOI: 10.3912/OJIN.Vol30No02PoSCol03.

[15]T. Sengul, S. Sariköse and A. Gul, Ethical decision–making and artificial intelligence in nursing education: An integrative review, *Nursing ethics*, 2025, 32, 2490–2515.